

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,486	03/11/2005	Olaf Joeressen	915-006.073	7159
4955 7590 11/27/2007 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			EXAMINER	
			LEWIS, ALICIA M	
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/527,486	JOERESSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alicia M. Lewis	2164				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilities to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2007.					
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-13 and 15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-13 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
		SAU				
Attachment(s)		SAM RIMELL.				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li></ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2164

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination filed September 10, 2007. Claims 1-3, and 15 have been amended, and claims 4, 5, 14 and 16 are canceled. Claims 1-3, 6-13 and 15 remain pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Will (US Patent 6,392,640) in view of Dostie et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0021691 A1) ('Dostie').

With respect to claims 1 and 11-13 and 15, Will teaches:

generating, for browsing, a character subset of said character set, said character subset including words, according to an inference logic, that are consistent with the sequence of letters entered (column 6 lines 54-58);

displaying said character subset on a display of said electronic device, for browsing and selecting the word by the user (column 6 lines 54 – column 7 line 3),

Art Unit: 2164

wherein said inference logic is based on a database of words and at least one usage parameter related to each of said words, wherein said usage parameter is stored in said database (Figure 13B, column 13 lines 46-66), and

wherein said user interface is a roller, and wherein browse commands are issued by rotating the roller around its axis, and wherein select commands are issued by pressing the roller (column 2 lines 27-33).

Will does not teach said character subset including characters from among which, according to inference logic, the next character for said word is most probably selected, displaying said character subset for browsing and selecting the next character, or adapting contents of a database by adding a new word to the database after at least one word has been entered.

Dostie teaches a method, system and media for entering data in a personal computing device (see abstract), in which he teaches: generating, for browsing a character subset of said character set, said character subset including characters from among which, according to inference logic, the next character for said word is most probably selected (paragraphs 102-103),

displaying said character subset for browsing and selecting the next character (Figures 3 and 29, paragraphs 102-103), and

adapting contents of a database by adding a new word to the database after at least one word has been entered (paragraph 214).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Will by the teaching of Dostie because said

Art Unit: 2164

character subset including characters from among which, according to inference logic, the next character for said word is most probably selected, displaying said character subset for browsing and selecting the next character, or adapting contents of a database by adding a new word to the database after at least one word has been entered would enable the database or dictionary to have learning capabilities, thus providing more functionality (Dostie, paragraph 214).

With respect to claim 2, Will as modified teaches wherein said at least one usage parameter for a certain word is related to the individual number of occurrences of usage of said certain word (Will, column 13 lines 46-58).

With respect to claim 3, Will as modified teaches wherein said at least one usage parameter for a certain word is related to the individual number of occurrence of usages of said certain word and the total number of occurrence of words (Will, column 13 lines 46-61).

With respect to claim 6, Will as modified teaches further comprising storing said selected characters in a log file for determining new usage parameters, and wherein said inference logic determines said subset of most probable characters by evaluating said log file (Dostie, paragraphs 79 and 203).

Art Unit: 2164

With respect to claim 7, Will as modified teaches wherein words stored in said database of words are arranged in the form of a tree such that a root of the tree consists of a beginning of a word, the root being connected to nodes representing single characters on a next level such that on each level, potential characters are, in order of probability, connected to a node on a pervious level whereby, as the process proceeds from the root of the tree through the nodes to a node on the last level, the characters in the nodes combine to form a word in said database of words (Dostie, Figure 4, paragraphs 88-90).

With respect to claim 8, Will as modified teaches wherein, the character subset is interlinked with the character set, in order to browse the characters on the display, such that upon browsing past the character subset, the browsing of the character set begins (Will, Figure 1B, column 6 lines 24-45; Dostie, Figures 3 and 29, paragraph 103).

With respect to claim 9, Will as modified teaches wherein generating the character subset with said inference logic comprises:

identifying a start of an entry of a new word (Dostie, paragraphs 9 and 79), inserting, into the character subset, the most probable letters stored in the database of words for starting a word (Dostie, paragraphs 9 and 82).

With respect to claim 10, Will as modified teaches:

Art Unit: 2164

identifying a text being entered, wherein the characters of said text relating to a word are entered (Dostie, paragraph 79),

identifying words being stored in said database of words that are appropriate for the word being entered (Dostie, paragraph 82), and

selecting, for the character subset, a character from each appropriate probable entry to be the possibly entered text (Dostie, paragraph 104).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-13 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 - 6:30, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on 571-272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,486 Page 7

Art Unit: 2164

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alicia Lewis November 21, 2007

> SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER